

To: Green, Holly[Green.Holly@epa.gov]; Arguto, William[Arguto.William@epa.gov]
From: binetti, victoria
Sent: Thur 1/30/2014 12:20:25 PM
Subject: RE: WV letter revised
SWP letter 1-30-OW (2)-v.docx

Thanks, I like the editing. Consider adding "hospitals" to "...300,000 residents, businesses, hospitals and schools...." To underscore how serious and pervasive the incident was/is.

I'm not sure about the "inadequate notification system," because I can't confirm the chronology of the delay in notification (although I agree that this is a big concern as a general matter). I have seen a report that indicates that Freedom Chemical notified the plant an hour (and 5 minutes) after their employees discovered the leak, and 3.5 hours after documented reports of the odor coming from the vicinity of the plant. This clearly underscores the importance of immediate notification.

Re: comment on updating assessments....I added a phrase on "revisiting" assessments, maybe that will cover it.

Thanks, Holly!--Vicky

From: Green, Holly
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2014 6:42 AM
To: binetti, victoria; Arguto, William
Subject: Fw: WV letter revised

Thanks so much for your thoughts. Peter had cut back that first P, so perhaps it is OK now, but let me know. See attached. FYI I had read that Freedom cited frozen piping as contributing to the leak in their bankruptcy filing, but we took that out anyway.

I agree w/ Bill that SWP requires ongoing attention. Let me talk to Peter and Elizabeth about how to characterize, since the only comment we got from Nancy S. (On Peter's version) was to note that updates were not required.

I need to send this forward this morning so if you could let me know first thing, I'd greatly appreciate it.

Thanks!

From: Holly Sage <[REDACTED]> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2014 6:34:28 AM

To: Green, Holly

Subject: WV letter revised